

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

8 * * *

9 ROBERT LEE CARTER,

Case No. 3:15-cv-00565-MMD-VPC

10 Plaintiff,

ORDER

11 v.

12 DENTIST JOHN DOE, et al.,

13 Defendants.

14 This action is a *pro se* civil rights complaint filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by
15 a state prisoner. On May 17, 2016, this Court issued an order dismissing the complaint
16 with leave to amend and directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty (30)
17 days. (ECF No. 6 at 7). The thirty-day period has now expired, and Plaintiff has not filed
18 an amended complaint. Instead, Plaintiff has filed a motion for return of his filing fee.
19 (ECF No. 8.) Plaintiff's motion states he seeks his filing fee back and that he is choosing
20 not to file an amended complaint. (*Id.* at 1.) In light of Plaintiff's election not to file an
21 amended complaint, the Court will dismiss this action.

22 Plaintiff also requests return of his filing fee. Prior to the screening of his
23 complaint, Plaintiff paid \$25.59 to the Court. (See ECF No. 4). This was done by Plaintiff
24 of his own accord and not pursuant to any court order. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1) provides
25 that a "prisoner *shall* be required to pay the full amount of the filing fee." 28 U.S.C.
26 § 1915(b)(1) (emphasis added). Prisoners proceeding *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") must
27 pay the filing fee as funds become available to them. See *Andrews v. Cervantes*, 493
28 F.3d 1047, 1052 (9th Cir. 2007). Prisoners who proceed *in forma pauperis* are not

1 excused from paying filing fees, they are only excused from *pre-paying* them in full. See
2 *Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307 (3d Cir. 2001). Accordingly, even if a case is
3 dismissed, a court will often require a litigant who is proceeding *in forma pauperis* to
4 continue to pay towards the filing fee until it is paid in full. Here, the Court deferred a
5 decision on Plaintiff's IFP application, as it often does, so that it may refer the case to
6 the early inmate mediation program once a plaintiff states a colorable § 1983 claim.
7 (See ECF No. 6.) Plaintiff did not proceed to that stage of litigation. Under the
8 circumstances of this case, the Court will grant Plaintiff's request for return of his filing
9 fee.

10 It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice.

11 It is further ordered that the motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is
12 denied as moot.

13 It is further ordered that Plaintiff's motion for return of his filing fees (ECF No. 8)
14 is granted. The Clerk is instructed to return Plaintiff's filing fees and close this case.

15
16 DATED THIS 23rd day of June 2016.



17
18 MIRANDA M. DU
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28